

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS


Claims 1 through 17 are pending in this application. Claims 9, 10 and 13-17 have been amended to further clarify the invention, and to remove multiple dependencies and to provide antecedent basis.

Applicants respectfully traverse because each of the embodiments as set forth in the Office Action is a common invention. Moreover, it would be more expedient to prosecute all embodiments in a single application. Notwithstanding the foregoing, to comply fully with the restriction requirement, applicants elect with traverse to prosecute the embodiment of Species V, Figure 6, which includes claims 1 through 4 and claims 14 through 17.

The Office Action asserts that currently at no claims are generic. Applicants respectfully submit that independent claim 1 is generic to the species and embodiments as set forth above. Hence, if claim 1 is found allowable, then all non-elected species should be rejoined. Consideration and allowance of the application is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 7/28/05



Paul D. Greeley
Reg. No. 31,019
Attorney for Applicants
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
One Landmark Square, 10th floor
Stamford, CT 06901-2682
Tel: (203) 327-4500